4. Жук І. (2025). Штучний інтелект у маркетингових комунікаціях: трансформація соціальних платформ. *Вісник книжкової палати*. №8, 8–11. URL: http://visnyk.ukrbook.net/article/view/339527 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36273/2076-9555.2025.8(349).8-11

5. Рубан С. (2024). Дослідження сутності поняття "AI-маркетинг": нова ера взаємодії з клієнтами. *Економіка та суспільство*. №65 (2024). URL: https://economyandsociety.in.ua/index.php/journal/article/view/4458. DOI: https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2024-65-72

6. Янковець Т. (2024). Автоматизація цифрового маркетингу. *Scientia fructuosa*. 154, 2 (Квіт 2024), 100–117. URL: https://journals.knute.edu.ua/scientia-fructuosa/article/view/2051. DOI: https://doi.org/10.31617/1.2024(154)06.

Denys Zavadskykh
Dmytro Motornyi Tavria State
Agrotechnological University

THE SILICON TUTOR: IS AI ENHANCING EDUCATION OR OUTSOURCING THE MIND?

By 2026, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into higher education has transitioned from an experimental novelty to a structural necessity. Large Language Models (LLMs) are now heavily utilized by university students to navigate complex research, structure analytical writing, and generate code. This ubiquitous adoption fundamentally alters the academic paradigm, forcing institutions to determine where independent cognitive development ends and algorithmic automation begins. The immediate appeal of LLMs lies in their measurable impact on academic productivity; empirical investigations indicate that access to generative algorithms can compress the time required for analytical writing tasks by up to 40% while simultaneously elevating the structural coherence of the output [1]. For students, this technology functions as an ever-present cognitive scaffold, mitigating initial hurdles such as the inability to generate preliminary outlines. However, the utility of this technology, and the corresponding risks of its application, vary significantly across academic disciplines. This disciplinary divergence is best evidenced through a granular examination of how

AI intersects with the core competencies of Economics, Information Technology, Tourism, and Translation.

Economics. In Economics, LLMs streamline data aggregation and literature summaries, yet they facilitate "cognitive offloading" that threatens foundational learning [2]. This reliance often generates an "illusion of understanding," where students bypass the causal reasoning central to the discipline. To counter potential hallucinations of statistical correlations, the "Scaffold-not-Solve" model redefines AI as an "adversarial critic" used to stress-test human-developed models rather than replacing the analytical process.

IT and Software Development. In Information Technology and Computer Science, AI functions as a highly effective, interactive debugger. However, the unconstrained use of commercial tools like GitHub Copilot introduces severe academic and professional liabilities. These models are trained on unverified repositories and frequently generate code containing hidden security vulnerabilities [3]. Consequently, IT students utilizing these tools may successfully bypass the arduous process of algorithmic problem-solving, but in doing so, they submit insecure software architectures and fail to develop the necessary critical skepticism required for professional software engineering. To ensure mastery, universities are moving toward 'Socratic Debugging,' where AI is restricted to asking probing questions that force the student to trace their own logic and manually identify inefficiencies in how their code handles increasing amounts of data.

Tourism and Hospitality. In Tourism and Hospitality, RAG-integrated AI accelerates operational planning by synthesizing massive datasets for yield management and personalized itineraries [4]. However, this efficiency risks "operational fiction"—the hallucination of logistical data—and industry homogenization. Without manual verification of local nuances and factual accuracy, strategic planning risks transitioning into unverified, generic fiction.

Translation and Linguistics. Translation is shifting toward Machine Translation Post-Editing (MTPE), turning students into auditors of algorithmic output. While efficient, LLMs consistently fail to capture socio-cultural subtext and regional

nuances [5]. Bypassing the "cognitive friction" of language learning leads to an atrophy of linguistic competence, producing graduates who can ensure grammatical correctness but cannot communicate with independent, cultural resonance.

Conclusion. The integration of AI into university curricula is increasingly governed by the "Scaffold-not-Solve" principle. Under this framework, AI serves as a cognitive partner rather than a solution engine, prioritizing higher-order cognitive tasks—such as evaluation, synthesis, and critique—to preserve the intellectual labor required for mastery. Ultimately, the most significant risk AI poses to higher education is the normalization of cognitive offloading. The fundamental purpose of university education is not the mere production of flawless essays or functional code; it is the neurological development required to think critically, tolerate intellectual frustration, and solve novel problems. While LLMs represent a powerful tool for accelerating research, unconstrained reliance upon them threatens to automate the very cognitive processes that higher education is designed to cultivate.

REFERENCES

1. Brynjolfsson, E., Li, D., & Raymond, L. (2023). Generative AI at Work. National Bureau of Economic Research. URL: https://www.nber.org/papers/w31161 (date of access: 01.04.2026).
2. Korinek, A. (2023). Language Models and Cognitive Automation for Economic Research. Journal of Economic Literature. URL: https://www.nber.org/papers/w30957 (date of access: 01.04.2026).
3. Pearce, H., et al. (2022). Asleep at the Keyboard? Assessing the Security of GitHub Copilot's Code Contributions. IEEE. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9833571 (date of access: 01.04.2026).
4. Dwivedi, Y. K., et al. (2023). Opinion Paper: "So what if AI can write program code?" International Journal of Information Management. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642 (date of access: 01.04.2026).
5. Bowker, L. (2023). De-mystifying Translation Introducing Translation to Non-translators. Routledge. URL: https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003217718 (date of access: 01.04.2026).